

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,166	08/05/2003	Julian Crawford	035470.00001	6335	
7590 04/06/2006			EXAMINER		
Henry S. Jaudon			BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P		
McNair Law Fi	rm, P.A.				
P.O. Box 10827			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Greenville, SC 29601			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			10/634,166 CRAWFORD, JULI		N
			Examiner Art Unit		
		Christoph	ner P. Bruenjes	1772	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
Period fo	or Reply				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no explication. Itory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION VENT, however, may a reposite will expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABA	ATION. oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1\\\\	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 00 March 2006	<u>.</u>	·	
2a)⊠	•	on <u>os march zooo</u> o) ☐ This action is r			•
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	,—		rs, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
<u>ا</u> ر	closed in accordance with the practice	•		•	
Diama - 14	·	•	, ,		
. ·	ion of Claims				•
•	Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are pending in the ap				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from co	onsideration.		
5)∐	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	an and/or alastian .	i		
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election i	requirement.	•	
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
• —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: 'a) ☐ objected to be	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	he correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations	ocuments have been ocuments have been the first the priority docum	en received. en received in Ap ents have been r	plication No	age
* S Attachmen	See the attached detailed Office action (t(s)	for a list of the cert	tified copies not re	eceived.	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	2.25.34		mmary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pter No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-1)	52)

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

1. The claim objections of claims 8 and 10 of record in the Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Page 3 Paragraph 5, have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments in the Paper filed March 9, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, the limitation "said first elongation capabilities" lacks antecedent basis, because the limitation that the longitudinally extending filaments have "first elongation capabilities" in line 3 of claim 8 has been deleted.

Regarding claims 8-11 and 15, the limitation "said longitudinally extending drawn and heat set thermoplastic

Art Unit: 1772

filaments" lacks antecedent basis, because the limitation that the longitudinally extending filaments are "drawn and heat set" in line 3 of claim 8 has been deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 8-12 and 14 as anticipated by O'Neil are repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Pages 3-6 Paragraph 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 13 and 15 over O'Neil are repeated for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action mailed December 28, 2005, Pages 7-8 Paragraph 10.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

- 8. Applicant's arguments regarding the claim objections of record have been considered but they are moot since the objections have been withdrawn.
- 9. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 8-12 and 14 as anticipated by O'Neil and the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 13 and 15 over O'Neil have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil is drawn to a thermoplastic twine and not a tubular fabric, the strands of O'Neil along the outer perimeter form a hollow cylindrical body and the strands inside of the outer perimeter fills the hollow cylindrical body formed by the outer perimeter strands. A tubular object is still considered tubular even when filled. Therefore, the thermoplastic twine of O'Neil reads on the claimed limitation of "tubular fabric".

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil fails to teach drawing and heat setting the longitudinal filaments, the limitations that the longitudinal filaments are drawn and heat set have been deleted from the claims.

In response to Applicant's argument that O'Neil fails to teach that longitudinal filaments have less elongation

Application/Control Number: 10/634,166

Art Unit: 1772

characteristics than the elastic filaments, O'Neil specifically teaches that the longitudinal filaments are formed of thermoplastics and teaches that the preferred elastic filaments are formed of ethylene-propylene rubbers (col.3, 1.47-68), and rubbers are known to have greater elongation than thermoplastics.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1772

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes Examiner Art Unit 1772

CPB

CPB

April 4, 2006

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER